

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/750,125 12/29/2000 Mitsuhiro Kanada Q62454 6746 11/05/2004 **EXAMINER** SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC CHANG, VICTOR S 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037-3213 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1771

DATE MAILED: 11/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action 697:50,125	1		Application No.	Applicant(s)	1.0	
Examiner		Advisory Action	09/750,125	KANADA ET AL.		
-The MALLWG DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED 13 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Acquest for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or bi] a) The period for reply expires or: (1) the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires or: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is tater. In or event, however, with the statutory period for reply expires that shi XM MONTHS form the mailing date of the final rejection. The period of reply expires or (1) the replace of the final rejection. The place of the final rejection or event, however, with the statutory period for reply expire set than SIX MONTHS for the mailing date of the final rejection. The place of the final rejection of the replace of the major by the state of the period for reply expire set than SIX MONTHS for the mailing date of the final rejection. The place of the final rejection of the replace of the major date of the replaced from: (1) the contained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the period or extension and the corresponding amount of fine final rejection. See MPEP 1.136(a) and the state of the final rejection and the corresponding amount of fine final rejection. See Africa of the under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the software set for sine and the software period determined the software set for sine and the software period determined the corresponding mount of the final determined and the corresponding mount of the final determined and the software period of the fina	1	·	Examiner	Art Unit		
THE REPLY FILED 13 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed of mental price of a condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.14. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b)			Victor S Chang	1771		
infinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a limely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal feet) or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b)		THE REPLY FILED 13 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a condition for allowance: (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal for) is an (2).				
a)						
to perfect or reply expires on: (1) the malling date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the malling date in the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 2007(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension tee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. △ A Notice of Appeal was filed on 13 October 2004. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. ─ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) ─ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ─ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ─ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 3. ─ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ─ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ○ The a∏fidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]				
2. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☒ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see attached NOTE. 6. ☒ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	1 (Ine period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than the final transfer or the final Office action; or				
2.	1	1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>13 October 2004</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☒ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see attached NOTE. 6. ☒ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	:	2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☒ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see attached NOTE. 6. ☒ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below):				
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:	l	(D) I they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);				
NOTE: 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) \overline{\text{N}} request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: **see attached NOTE**. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying t issues for appeal; and/or					
 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see attached NOTE. 6. ☑ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 		NOTE:				
 5. ☐ The a _ affidavit, b _ exhibit, or c ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see attached NOTE. 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 	3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ▼ request for reconsideration has been exactly at the constant. 				
 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 	5					
 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 	6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issue at the					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	7	7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered as by				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		Claim(s) objected to:				
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	Claim(s) rejected:					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		3.∐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.				
10. Other:	9.	9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).				
	10. Other:					
					1	
Patent and Trademork Office					ļ	

Art Unit: 1771

NOTE

With respect to Applicants' argument "Nakae discloses that aluminum hydroxide 1. or magnesium hydroxide is most preferably used in Nakae's composition ... Only aluminum hydroxide is used in the Examples ... Nakae does not contain any disclosure regarding a method of impregnating a resin with an inert gas ... does not contain any disclosure to teach or suggest that a composite metal hydroxide is optimum in an expanding method ... Nakae does not provide any motivation to one skilled in the art to use a composite metal hydroxide as a flame retardant" (Remarks, pages 1-2, bridging paragraph), the Examiner repeats (see page 3 of Office action dated 4/13/2004) that Nakae's invention is directed to a polyolefin resin composition which has high flame retardancy and sufficient tensile elongation for practical application, and is adapted to be used as a raw material for a field in which high flame retardancy is demanded such as a foamed product (Abstract), and by adding a hydrated metal oxide to a polymer blend such as polyethylene and ethylene-based copolymer preserves a synergetic high flame retardancy, extensibility, and high foam properties (column 2, lines 52 to column 3, line 11). Nakae expressly discloses that the hydrated metal oxide has a general structural formula MmOn, xH2O, for example, magnesium hydroxide (MgO·H2O or Mg(OH)₂), etc. As such, Nakae teaches the use of magnesium hydroxide flame retardant as claimed, Applicants' argument to the contrary notwithstanding. As to Applicants' argument "Nakae does not contain any disclosure regarding a method of impregnating a resin with an inert gas", the Examiner repeats (see page 2 of Office

Application/Control Number: 09/750,125

Art Unit: 1771

action dated 6/21/2002) that the primary reference WO '573 is directed at a low-density microcellular thermoplastic elastomeric foams with closed cells. The foam is made using supercritical fluid CO₂ as the blowing agent (claims 1, 5-7). Applicants' continual argument against reference individually fail to recognize that the rejection is based on combined teachings of WO '573 and Nakae. Finally, regarding Applicants' argument "Nakae does not provide any motivation to one skilled in the art to use a composite metal hydroxide as a flame retardant", the Examiner again repeats (see page 3 of Office action dated 4/13/2004) that regarding the use of a "composite" (mixture) metal hydroxides, such as MgO·ZnO·H2O, it is prima facie obvious to combine two compositions each of which is taught by the prior art to be useful for the same purpose, in order to form a third composition to be used for the very same purpose. The idea of combining them flows logically from their having been individually taught in the prior art. See MPEP § 2144.06.

With respect to Applicants argument "WO '573 is silent regarding a flame retardant ...", the Examiner notes that Applicants again argues the reference individually, and fail to recognize that WO '573 and Nakae are from the same field of endeavor, i.e., foamed polyolefin, and it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to combine their teachings.

Finally, Mr. Takayuki Yamamoto's Declaration of unexpected results has not been entered. It is untimely to submit a Declaration after Final Office action, because it requires further consideration and Applicants have not provided good and sufficient reason why this Declaration has not been presented earlier.

Application/Control Number: 09/750,125

Art Unit: 1771

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Victor S Chang whose telephone number is 571-272-

1474. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Terrel H Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

1156

Victor S Chang Examiner

Art Unit 1771

11/2/2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 4

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700